(S)

(57)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILASPUR

Writ Petition (S) No. 610 of 2007

Petitioners

1) Chandrika Singh Patel, S/o. Shri UsatRam Patel, aged about 48 years, Assistant Teacher posted at Primary School, Nawapara (Bhupdevpur), Block Kharsiya, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

2) Ramakant Sharma, S.o. Shri Paras Ram Sharma, aged about 42 years, Assistant Teacher, posted at Primary School Rampur, Block Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

3) Kartik Ram Patel, S/o. Shri Puran Singh Patel, aged about 44 years, Assistant Teacher, posted at Adim Jati Kalyan Primary School Dhimani, Block Kharsiya, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

4) Ishwar Prasad Patel, S/o. Shri Govind Ram Patel, aged about 42 years, Assistant Teacher, posted at Middle School Nansiya Block – Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

5) Yashwant Patel, S/o. Shri Hansh Ram Patel, aged about 40 years, Assistant Teacher, posted at Middle School Farkanara, Block Kharsiya Dist. Raigarh, CG.

Versus

Respondents

1) State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Education Department, D.KS. Bhawan, Raipur, CG.

2) Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department, Raipur, CG.

3) Assistant Commissioner, Tribal Welfare Department Head Office Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

4) District Coordinator, Tribal Welfare Department, Head Office Raigarh, Dist. Raigarh, CG.

(Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in nature of certiorari, mandamus, prohibition and other suitable writ or writs, direction or directions, order or orders).

(SB: Hon'ble Shri Justice Satish K. Agnihotri)

PRESENT:

Shri R.S. Patel, counsel for the petitioners.
Smt. Anju Ahuja, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 23rd April 2007)

1. The question of law involved herein as to whether the petitioners are entitled to regular pay scale with effect from the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same to that of in Writ Petition (S) No. 5976 of 2006 (Sushil Tiwari & others Vs. State Govt. of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7-11-2006.

3. In view of the above stated submission made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Raju